

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

NOTICE OF FILING

This document was filed electronically in the High Court of Australia on 07 Oct 2022 and has been accepted for filing under the *High Court Rules 2004*. Details of filing and important additional information are provided below.

Details of Filing

File Number: \$125/2022

File Title: Laundy Hotels (Quarry) Pty Limited v. Dyco Hotels Pty Limite

Registry: Sydney

Document filed: Form 27B - Appellant's chronology

Filing party: Appellant
Date filed: 07 Oct 2022

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the cover page of the document which has been accepted for filing electronically. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties and whenever the document is reproduced for use by the Court.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA SYDNEY REGISTRY

BETWEEN:

LAUNDY HOTELS (QUARRY) PTY LIMITED (ACN 159 364 342)

Appellant

and

DYCO HOTELS PTY LIMITED (ACN 100 275 974) ATF THE PARRAS FAMILY TRUST

First Respondent

and

QUARRYMAN HOTEL OPERATIONS PTY LIMITED (ACN 634 263 933)

Second Respondent

and

DAPHNE MARIA PARRAS

Third Respondent

and

COLIN MICHAEL PARRAS

Fourth Respondent

APPELLANT'S CHRONOLOGY

Part I: Certification

This chronology is in a form suitable for publication on the Internet.

Part II: List of principal events leading to the litigation

Date	Event	Ref
21.11.2019	Selling agents were engaged for the sale of the hotel (HTL	CAB 20
	Property and Knight Frank Hotels).	SC [27]

Date	Event	Ref
03.12.2019	Mr Handy (HTL Property) contacted Colin Parras about	CAB 20
	the sale of the Hotel.	SC [27]
	Mr Handy provided certain information to Collin Parras	SC [27]
	about the Hotel, including a profit and loss statement for	
	the July 2018 to June 2019 period and an Information	
	Memorandum.	
06.12.2019	Colin Parras and Daphne Parras inspected the hotel.	CAB 20
		SC [28]
09.12.2019	Various due diligence checks were undertaken by Colin	CAB 20
	Parras.	SC [30]
18.12.2019	The Parras' made an offer to purchase the hotel property	CAB 20
	and business for \$10,600,000. Mr Handy indicated that	SC [31]
	he believed the offer would not be accepted.	
10.01.2020	The Parras' made an offer to purchase for a price of	CAB 20
	\$11,250,000. The offer was accepted the same day.	SC [32]
20.01.2020	A draft contract (including Additional Conditions) is	CAB 20
	provided to AC Comino & Associates (for the	SC [33]
	Appellants) around 20 January 2020.	
31.01.2020	Contract in respect of the sale of the freehold and	CAB 9, 21
	business of the hotel known as the Quarrymans Hotel,	SC
	214-216 Harris Street, Pyrmont entered into for the price	[2],[34]
	of \$11,250,000.	
	Additional Condition 50.1:	
	Subject to clause 50.2, from the date of this Contract until	CAB 14
	completion, the Vendor must carry on the Business in the	SC [15]
	usual and ordinary course as regards its nature, scope and	
	manner and repair and maintain the assets in the same	
	manner as repaired and maintained as at the date of this	
	contract and use reasonable endeavour's to ensure all	
	items on the inventory are in good repair and improper	
	working order having regard to their condition at the date	
	of this contract, fair wear and tear excepted.	
	v ,,,	

Date	Event	Ref
04.02.2020	Scott Robertson prepared a valuation of the Hotel dated 4	CAB 22
	February 2020 addressed to the ANZ Bank in the same	SC [38]
	amount as the Contract price (\$11,250,000).	
13.02.2020	Agreement was reached between the respective solicitors	CAB 22
	to the effect that settlement of the Business Assets would	SC [35]
	take place on Monday, 30 March 2020, with settlement of	
	hotel property, licence and gaming entitlements to take	
	place on Tuesday, 31 March 2020.	
16.03.2020	St. George Bank issued a letter of offer to the Purchasers	CAB 22
	for a facility of \$6,500,000, with financial covenants set out	SC [36]
	therein.	
23.03.2020	Public Health (COVID-19 Places of Social Gathering)	CAB 22 –
	Order 2020 came into force. Clause 5(1)(a) closed pubs,	23 SC [39]
	save for food and beverages to be consumed off premises.	
23.03.2020	The hotel was closed pursuant to the Public Health	CAB 23
	(COVID-19 Places of Social Gathering) Order 2020.	SC [40]
25.03.2020	Letter from AC Comino & Associates to JDK Legal (for	CAB 24
	the Respondent) indicating that the Respondent was not	SC [44]
	ready, willing and able to complete the Contract because,	
	inter alia, it was in breach of special condition 50.1.	
	Email from AC Comino & Associates to JDK Legal	
	confirming settlement figures but noting they were	
	"without prejudice".	
25.03.2020	Colin Parras spoke on the telephone to Ms Fong (his	CAB 23-
	Relationship Manager at St George Bank). Mr Parras asked	24
	about obtaining relief from compliance with the financial	SC [42]-
	covenants in the facility.	[43]
	On the same day, Ms Fong emailed Colin Parras agreeing	
	to delaying the financial covenants testing by 12 months.	
	Mr Parras emailed Ms Fong suggesting an alternative	
	arrangement to the financial covenant testing.	
26.03.2020	The hotel was re-opened for takeaway sales and delivery	CAB 43
	and traded in a limited fashion until 15 May 2020.	SC [107]

Date	Event	Ref
27.03.2020	Letter from AC Comino & Associates to JDK Legal	CAB 25
	asserting the Contract had been frustrated or	SC [48]
	alternatively, a notice to complete could be issued by the	
	Purchasers.	
27.03.2020	Letter from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates	CAB 25
	asserting that clause 50.1, to the extent it obliged the	SC [49]
	Vendor to engage in unrestricted trading of the hotel	
	business, was void and of no effect because it was	
	contrary to the Order and therefore severable by reason	
	of clause 63.7.	
	The Vendor contended that the following words could be	
	struck out:	
	Subject to clause 50.2, from the date of this Contract	
	until completion, the Vendor must carry on the Business	
	in the usual and ordinary course as regards its nature,	
	scope and manner and repair and maintain the assets in	
	the same manner as repaired and maintained as at the	
	date of this contract and use reasonable endeavour's to	
	ensure all items on the inventory are in good repair and	
	improper working order having regard to their condition	
	at the date of this contract, fair wear and tear excepted.	
27.03.2020	Further letter from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates	CAB 25
	stating that no particulars had been provided of the	SC [50]
	frustration and calling for completion.	
27.03.2020	55 days after exchange for completion date of the sale of	
	Business Assets (special condition 65.3).	
28.03.2020	56 days after exchange for completion date of the sale of	
	Property (special condition 65.4).	
30.03.2020	Date agreed for settlement in correspondence between the	CAB 22
	parties solicitors in February 2020	SC [35]

Date	Event	Ref
31.03.2020	Email from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates stating	CAB 25 -
	that the Vendor was ready to conduct stocktake, calculate	26
	on site adjustments and to complete the business sale	SC [51]
	yesterday. However, the Purchaser did not attend.	
03.04.2020	Email from Ms Fong to Colin Parras' email of 25 March	CAB 26
	2020 stating that the start date of the testing for covenants	SC [52]
	will be reviewed should the COVID-19 situation progress.	
06.04.2020	Letter from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates	CAB 26-
	requiring the Purchasers to make an appointment for	27
	completion.	SC [53]
08.04.2020	Letter from AC Comino & Associates to JDK Legal	CAB 27
	asserting the Vendor could not insist on completion, that	SC [54]
	the contract had been frustrated and the that it was in breach	
	because of special condition 51, as it was not reading,	
	willing and able to complete the Contract.	
17.04.2020	Letter from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates	CAB 27
	offering to extend the time for completion to 14 days after	SC [55]
	the ending of the Covid Order preventing trading. The	
	offer is not accepted by the Appellants.	
21.04.2020	Letter from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates stating	CAB 28
	that unless the Purchasers agree a date for completion by	SC [56]
	23 April 2020, the Vendor would issue a Notice to	
	Complete.	
22.04.2020	Letter from AC Comino & Associates to JDK Legal stating	CAB 28
	that the Vendor was not entitled to issue a Notice to	SC [56]
	Complete.	
22.04.2020	Robertson & Robertson prepared an Addendum to the	CAB 28
	earlier valuation of 4 February 2020. A deduction of one	SC [57]
	year's maintainable earnings (\$978,771) was applied to the	
	capitalised value to arrive at a new valuation of	
	\$10,250,000.	
L		

Date	Event	Ref
28.04.2020	The Vendor issued a Notice to Complete on 28 April 2020,	CAB 28
	requiring completion of the Business Assets on 12 May	SC [58]
	2020 and the Land on 13 May 2020.	
28.04.2020	The proceedings are commenced by the Appellants.	CAB 28
		SC [59]
11.05.2020	Letter from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates	CAB 28
	attaching updated settlement figures and calling on the	SC [60]
	Purchaser to complete.	
12.05.2020	Letter from AC Comino & Associates to JDK Legal stating	CAB 28
	that the Vendor was not ready, willing and able to	SC [61]
	complete.	
13.05.2020	Letter from JDK Legal to AC Comino & Associates noting	CAB 28-
	that no-one attended the stocktake and no appointment for	29
	completing had been made by the Purchasers.	SC [62]
15.05.2020	Public Health (COVID-19 Restrictions on Gathering and	CAB 29
	Movement) Order (No 2) 2020: Hotels were permitted to	SC [63]
	open for up to 10 people, but only if liquor was sold.	
	Gaming lounge remained closed.	
20.05.2020	The Vendor posted on the hotel's Instagram account that	CAB 29
	the hotel would be re-opening soon.	SC [65]
21.05.2020	Vendor issued a Notice of Termination of the Contract.	CAB 29-
	Clause 4 of the Notice additionally relied on the letters of	30
	AC Comino dated 1 & 12 May 2020 and the filing of the	SC [66]
	Statement of Claim as evidencing repudiation.	
22.05.2020	The Vendor posted on the hotel's Instagram account that	CAB 30
	the hotel would be re-opening on 1 June 2020.	SC [67]
23.05.2020	The Purchasers' Solicitor sent a letter to the Vendors	CAB 30
	solicitors stating the Vendor was not entitled to issue the	SC [68]
	Notice to Complete while clause 7(1) [5(1)] of the Covid	
	order was in force and the issue of the Notice of	
	Termination was a repudiation by the Vendor and accepted	
	by the Purchasers.	

Date	Event	Ref
01.06.2020	Public Health (COVID-19 Restrictions on Gathering and	CAB
	Movement) Order (No 3) 2020: Hotel re-opened and was	31
	permitted 50 people subject to the 1 person per 4 square	SC [69]
	metre rule; individual bookings limited to 10 persons and	
	no limitation on gaming. The maximum number of	
	customers permitted on the premises at that stage was 137.	

Dated: 7 October 2022

Appellant's Counsel

Bret Walker

Telephone: (02) 8257 2527

Email: caroline.davoren@stjames.net.au

Appellant's Counsel

Benjamin DeBuse

Telephone: (02) 8239 3232

Email: bdebuse@chambers.net.au